159

Performance Evaluation of Parallel Concatenated Chaos Coded Modulations

Francisco J. Escribano, Luis López and Miguel A. F. Sanjuán

Abstract—In this article we study the performance of a class of parallel concatenated encoders similar to a turbo trellis coded modulation, but where the constituent encoders are chaos coded modulators. We show that, even when the uniform error property does not hold for the kind of constituent chaos coded modulations employed, it is still possible to draw a reasonable bound for the bit error probability at the error floor region based on the interleaver structure. The simulations validate the bounds and show that the dynamics of the underlying chaotic maps, rather than the quantization level of the constituent encoders, is the most important factor to account for both the bit and frame error rate behavior at the error floor region. We also show that these chaos bases parallel concatenated schemes yield performances comparable to binary turbocodes and are thus of potential interest in communications.

Index Terms—Channel coding, Chaos, Concatenated coding, Modulation coding, Error analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

The possibility of using chaotic signals to carry information was first considered in 1993 [1]. This aroused a big deal of work on chaotic communications, which became a hot topic in both nonlinear science and engineering. The interest in chaotic communications was due to the foreseen good properties of the chaotic signals in the fields of secure systems or broadband multiple access systems. In the case of secure systems, one can take advantage of the uncorrelation and unpredictability of the chaotic signals to build encryption algorithms. These are the same properties desirable for the spread sequences of a code division multiple access (CDMA) system. On the other hand, chaotic modulations and channel encoders derived from chaotic systems attracted much attention, but the interest on this kind of chaotic communications dropped somewhat due to the bad performance of the systems proposed so far, since they did not outperform other usual coded communication schemes, and they did not have even better performance than uncoded systems [2].

However, in later times and in some contexts we have witnessed the arising of some proposals with good performance

Manuscript received March, 2008 and revised July, 2008.

We acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science under Project No. FIS2006-08525, from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology under Grant No. TSI2006-07799, from the Comunidad de Madrid under Grant No. S-0505/TIC/0285 and from the Research Program of the Universidad Rey Juan Carlos and Comunidad de Madrid under Project No. URJC-CM-2007-CET-1601.

This Paper was presented as part at the International Conference on Software, telecommunications and Computer Networks (SoftCOM) 2007.

Francisco J. Escribano is with Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Spain (e-mail:francisco.escribano@ieee.org)

Miguel A. F. Sanjuán and Luis López are with Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain.

encoders leading to 1845-6421/08/8010 © 2008 CCIS

as compared with classical communication systems [3], and this has reopened the way to look further into the possibilities of chaotic systems to act efficiently in coded modulation schemes. Chaos based modulation systems working at the waveform level have already shown to be of potential use in multipath fading channels [4], as well as chaos based systems working at the coding level [5]. Other recent works have stressed the fact that chaos coded modulation (CCM) systems working at a joint waveform and coding level can be efficient in AWGN [3], [6]. It has been also shown that communications based on high dimensional chaotic systems and belief propagation decoding can offer an excellent performance characterized with thresholds [7]. Moreover, chaos based coded modulation systems have shown to be of potential interest in flat fading channels [8]. This contrasts strongly with previous state of the art [9]. Such success has been achieved by building a comprehensive bridge linking the fields of chaos theory and digital communications.

In particular, the proposal of a kind of chaos based coded modulations which could be seen under a trellis encoding view [3] opened the road to evaluate such kind of encoders in the same schemes where convolutional codes or trellis coded modulation (TCM) systems are able to yield high coding gains. For example, one can build very efficient coded and modulated systems using concatenation: parallel concatenation, such as in the so-called turbocodes or turbo TCM systems; and serial concatenation, such as in serially concatenated convolutional codes, or in serially concatenated TCM systems [10].

The mentioned convolutional encoder view of such kind of chaos based coded modulations is much like Ungerboeck's TCM [10], and since the turbo TCM systems combining the bandwidth efficient TCM systems and the philosophy of turbocoding offer good performance in white noise and radio channels, it was expected that new systems built under the same principles could lead to comparable results. This was ascertained in [11], where parallel concatenated chaos coded modulations were shown to give coding gains as high as with standard binary turbocodes. Another advantage of designing systems under these similarities is that we can use well established tools to design the encoders and decoders and to evaluate their performance, thus avoiding the need to start from scratch. The use of the EXIT charts to evaluate the convergence of the decoding algorithm in [11] is an instance of this. Other examples of the success of this philosophy in chaotic communications are the proposals of [12] and [6], where the convolutional encoder view allowed the design of systems with serially concatenated channel and chaotic encoders leading to good bit error rate (BER) results.



Fig. 1. Scheme of the whole communications system. Left side: parallel concatenated encoder structure for R = 1/2; center: channel model for AWGN; right side: soft-input soft-output (SISO) iterative decoder.

The successful proposal of turbo-like structures based on chaos coded modulations in [11] for the AWGN channel is still lacking of a deep and comprehensive study which could allow us to address the design task. That is the reason why we look here into the possibility to draw tight bounds for the bit error rate performance in the error floor region based on the minimum distance concept [13], with the aim also to link the dynamical properties of the underlying chaotic systems and the final performance of the concatenated system. This work is an extension of a previous conference paper [14].

According to all this, the article is structured as follows. Section II is devoted to the description of the whole system, including the concatenated encoder for some constituent chaos coded modulations, the channel and the iterative decoder. In Section III, we show how the minimum distance analysis can be extended to this kind of nonlinear concatenated codes, and how to draw bounds for the error floor region. Section IV exhibits the simulation results and compares them with the proposed bounds. Finally, Section V is devoted to the conclusions.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

A. Encoder

The concatenated encoder consists in the parallel concatenation of two chaos coded modulations (CCM's) [3], and, accordingly, we will call these systems parallel concatenated chaos coded modulations (PCCCM's). They have been first proposed in [11], where it is shown that these systems can reach comparable performance to binary turbo codes and turbo TCM systems. The general structure of the system, including the concatenated encoder, the AWGN channel and the iterative decoder is shown in Fig. 1.

The first CCM receives an *i.i.d.* binary input word $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$ of size N. The second CCM receives as input a scrambled sequence $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_N)$, which is the word \mathbf{b} interleaved by an S-random interleaver [15] of size N. The factor S of this kind of interleaver is the minimum separation between bit positions at the output for any two contiguous bits at the input. The permutation function is chosen in a semirandom basis. This function will map an index j into an index π_j , which means that the bit in position j, b_j , will be taken as bit in position π_j , c_{π_j} , within the input word for the second encoder. The index permutation is chosen according to the following steps:

• Choose an integer S.

- For index π_j corresponding to position j draw a random integer t between 1 and N.
- If t has not been chosen before, verify if the S previously chosen indexes lie at least at a distance of S from t, i.e. |π_p − t| > S for p = j − S, · · · , j − 1.
- If t satisfies the previous conditions, keep it as $\pi_j = t$ and proceed in the same way until all N indexes are chosen.

This algorithm converges in a reasonable time when S is chosen according to:

$$S < \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}.$$
 (1)

When S = 1, we have a purely random interleaver.

Each CCM belongs to the class of discrete chaotic switched maps driven by small perturbations [3]. They follow the general expression

$$z_n = f(z_{n-1}, b_n) + g(b_n, z_{n-1}) \cdot 2^{-Q}, \qquad (2)$$

$$x_n = 2z_n - 1, (3)$$

where $f(\cdot, 0) = f_0(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, 1) = f_1(\cdot)$ are chaotic maps that leave the interval [0, 1] invariant. They are piecewise linear maps with slope ± 2 . The natural number Q indicates the number of bits to represent z_n (thus x_n), and $g(b_n, z_{n-1}) \in \{0, 1\}$ is the small perturbations term [3], given by

$$g(b,z) = \begin{cases} b & z < \frac{1}{2} \\ \overline{b} & z \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}, \tag{4}$$

where $\overline{b} = b \oplus 1$ and \oplus is the binary XOR operation. If $f_0(\cdot) = f_1(\cdot)$ is the Bernoulli shift map, g(b, z) is equivalent to a precoder defined by the polynomial $1+D^{Q-1}$ [16], where D stands for delay and the exponent Q-1 means that input is delayed Q-1 sample periods. In any case, the function g(b, z) ensures that two binary input words (b, b') differing in only 1 bit do not lead to output sequences (**x**, **x**') for any individual CCM with a low squared Euclidean distance $d_E^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - x'_n)^2$. This recursive term is included to ensure a good interleaver gain, as it is mandatory for the inner encoders in any concatenated encoder [17].

With these definitions, it is easy to see that the recursion of (2) leaves the finite set $S_Q = \{i \cdot 2^{-Q} | i = 0, \dots, 2^Q - 1\}$ invariant and, therefore, we can restrict (2) to S_Q by taking as initial condition $z_0 = 0$. We shall consider the following pairs of maps $f_0(\cdot)$ and $f_1(\cdot)$:

1) Bernoulli shift map (BSM).

$$f_0(z) = f_1(z) = 2z \mod 1.$$
 (5)



Fig. 2. Maps for the constituent CCM encoders. The continuous line corresponds to $f_0(\cdot)$; the dotted line, to $f_1(\cdot)$. (a) mTM (continuous line: TM); (b) mBSM (continuous line: BSM).

2) Tent map (TM), corresponding to equations

1

$$f_0(z) = f_1(z) = \begin{cases} 2z & 0 \le z < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 - 2z & \frac{1}{2} \le z \le 1 \end{cases} .$$
 (6)

3) The BSM and a shifted version of the same (multi-Bernoulli shift map, mBSM), following

$$f_0(z) = 2z \mod 1,\tag{7}$$

$$f_1(z) = \begin{cases} 2z + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \le z < \frac{1}{4} \\ 2z - \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \le z < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2z - \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{4} \le z \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(8)

4) The tent map and a shifted version of the same (multitent map, mTM), following

$$f_0(z) = \begin{cases} 2z & 0 \le z < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 - 2z & \frac{1}{2} \le z \le 1 \end{cases} ,$$
 (9)

$$f_1(z) = \begin{cases} 2z + \frac{1}{2} & 0 \le z < \frac{1}{4} \\ 2z - \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \le z < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} - 2z & \frac{1}{2} \le z < \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{5}{2} - 2z & \frac{3}{4} \le z \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(10)



Fig. 3. Encoding structure for the mTM CCM. s_i stands for a memory position holding one bit, \oplus is the binary XOR operation and the feedback path before s_1 implements the recursive precoding of (4). The rest of feedback paths account for the structure of the equivalent finite-state machine of the discretized map chosen.

In Fig. 2 we have depicted the corresponding maps. These CCM systems, when restricted to S_Q , allow an equivalent representation in terms of a *trellis encoder*, closely related to a trellis coded modulation (TCM) system [3]. In Fig. 3, for example, we can see the equivalent trellis encoder structure for the mTM CCM.

The constituent encoders seen work at a rate of one chaotic sample per bit, and therefore, the parallel concatenation of two CCM's will have a coding rate of R = 1/2. The output words have thus size 2N, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{2N})$, with x_k , $k = 1, \dots, 2N$, taking values alternatively from each of the CCM's: when k is odd (k = 2n - 1, $n = 1, \dots, N$), the

sample corresponds to the first CCM; when k is even $(k = 2n, n = 1, \dots, N)$, the sample corresponds to the second CCM (see Fig. 1). Note that the overall system is similar to a turbo TCM system, but now the sequence x_n is a chaos-like broadband signal not intended for spectral efficiency.

B. Channel

The channel corresponds to the well known AWGN model. The sequence arriving at the decoder side, $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_{2N})$, will then be

$$r_k = x_k + n_k,\tag{11}$$

where n_k are *i.i.d.* samples of a Gaussian RV with zero mean and power σ^2 . Since the joint PCCCM system proposed has rate R = 1/2, the relationship between the power of the noise and the signal to noise ratio in terms of bit energy to noise spectral density will be

$$\sigma^{-2} = 2\frac{R}{P}\frac{E_b}{N_0} = \frac{1}{P}\frac{E_b}{N_0},$$
(12)

where P is the power of the chaos coded modulated signal¹.

C. Decoder

A chaos based signal coded with the mentioned finite state machine scheme (see Fig. 3) can be decoded with any standard method intended for general state machine coding, in particular maximum likelihood (ML) [3] or maximum a posteriori (MAP) algorithms [18]. They are based on the set of 2^Q possible states defined by the memory positions s_i (see Fig. 3), and the transitions between those states. As seen in Fig. 4, each transition happens for time n over an edge e_n between a starting state $S^S(e_n)$ and an ending state $S^E(e_n)$. The transition is driven by an input bit b_n and produces as output a chaotic sample $x_n = 2z_n - 1$ as a function of b_n and of the previous chaotic sample x_{n-1} (equivalent to the previous state). This is indicated by function $h(\cdot, \cdot)$. The state $S^E(e_n)$



Fig. 4. Transition diagram of the equivalent finite state machine for a chaos based coded modulated system.

In particular, the iterative decoder for a concatenated system can use standard soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding modules [19]. As seen in Fig. 1, the decoder chosen consists on two SISO decoding blocks working iteratively over each set

¹For the kind of maps considered, the invariant density of x_k is uniform in [-1, 1] and, therefore, we can assume $P \approx 1/3$ when Q > 4, since P is equivalent to the square standard deviation σ_x^2 of the invariant density.

of received samples (the ones coming from the first encoder, r_{2n-1} , $n = 1, \dots, N$, and the ones coming from the second one, r_{2n} , $n = 1, \dots, N$). This decoder reproduces the well known structure of the SISO iterative decoders for parallel concatenated binary channel codes, but with channel metrics adapted to the CCM setup

$$p(r_n|x_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{(r_n - x_n)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad (13)$$

where $x_n = 2z_n - 1$, $z_n \in S_Q$, is the candidate quantized chaotic sample for the corresponding branch metric [12]. We do not review here further details of the adapted SISO decoders for brevity. The calculation of the output binary log likelihood ratios (*llr*'s, see Fig. 1) as a function of the input *llr*'s and the channel metrics is straightforward [2] using the already known log-MAP algorithm [19].

III. MINIMUM DISTANCE ANALYSIS

The CCM's of the kind described are not linear and do not comply in general with the uniform error property [10], and so a ML bound for the bit error probability based on the PCCCM transfer function is almost unfeasible. Nevertheless, we will see that we can give a reasonable bound in the error floor region. In binary turbocodes or turbo TCM systems, the minimum or free distance of the resulting parallel concatenated code or coded modulation is usually employed to provide this error floor bound [10].

Each individual CCM has a characteristic binary input error event $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b} \oplus \mathbf{b}'$ associated to its output minimum squared Euclidean distance. For example, in the case of the TM CCM, the minimum squared Euclidean distance is given by an input error event with length L = Q + 1 and Hamming weight $w(\mathbf{e}) = Q + 1$. This event produces Q different output values for the resulting encoded sequences x_n and x'_n , and a minimum squared Euclidean distance $d_E^2 = \sum_{n=m}^{m+L-2} (x_n - x'_n)^2$ tending to 0 for $Q \to \infty$ [3].

Nevertheless, due to the presence of the parallel concatenated scheme with the S-random interleaver, the input binary error events leading to the output minimum squared Euclidean distance for the joint PCCCM system are normally to be found among the Hamming weight 2 input binary error events (or concatenations of the same). Such error events e^* consist in two 1's separated by $L^* - 2$ 0's, and have length $L^* = Q + 1$ for the BSM and mBSM CCM's, and $L^* = Q + 2$ for the TM and the mTM CCM's. The Hamming weight 2 binary error events with length $p(L^* - 1) + 1$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ will lead to increasing values in the associated output squared Euclidean distances by a factor of p. In the case of the BSM, each pair of sequences produced by a pair of input binary words differing only on a Hamming weight 2 error event of length L^* will determine a squared Euclidean distance given by [3]

$$d_{min}^2 = 4 \sum_{n=m}^{L^* + m - 1} (z_n - z'_n)^2 = 4 \sum_{i=1}^Q \frac{1}{4^i} = \frac{4}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4^Q} \right).$$
(14)

For the rest of CCM's, the squared Euclidean distances associated to such error events will depend generally on the input words **b** and **b**'. In Figs. 5(a), 5(b) and 6(a) we can see the



Fig. 5. Histogram of the $d_{E_i}^2$ corresponding to the Hamming weight 2 binary input error events in the case of a CCM system with Q = 5 for all the possible input sequences. (a) mBSM CCM; (b) TM CCM.



Fig. 6. (a) Histogram of $d_{E_i}^2$ for the Hamming weight 2 binary input error events in the case of an mTM CCM with Q = 5 for all the possible input sequences; (b) Histogram of d_E^2 when the binary error events consist on the concatenation of 2 binary input error events with Hamming weight 2 and length L^* each, in the case of a PCCCM with two mTM CCM's with Q = 5.

distance spectrum for the corresponding maps, produced for all possible **b** and **b'** sequences differing on a Hamming weight 2 error event with length L^* .

Let us denote as $D_2^{L^*}$ the set of all the possible squared Euclidean distances given by such binary error events in a CCM, that is to say

$$D_2^{L^*} = \left\{ \left. d^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \right| \mathbf{x} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}' \leftrightarrow \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{b} \oplus \mathbf{b}' = \mathbf{e}^* \right\} d^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \sum_{n=1}^N (x_n - x'_n)^2.$$
(15)

If the S-random interleaver is designed with S taking a value at least $3L^*$, the input binary error events with Hamming weight 2 will not be the dominant ones in the error floor region, since they would lead to squared Euclidean distances consisting on the sum of the distances associated with an error event of length L^* for one CCM, and of length $p(L^* - 1) + 1 > S$ for the other CCM (p > 3). That is to say, the squared Euclidean distances in the PCCCM system will be around $d_{E_1}^2 + pd_{E_2}^2$, with $d_{E_i}^2 \in D_2^{L^*}$.

Since the S-random interleaver does not put any restriction about the concatenation of error events, in the case of $S > 3L^*$, the dominant error events will have Hamming weight 4 and will consist in two Hamming weight 2 binary error events differing in b at indexes i, $i + L^* - 1$ and j, $j + L^* - 1$, and leading to an analogous combination in c, so that, for example, $|\pi(i) - \pi(j)| = L^* - 1$ and $|\pi(i + L^* - 1) - \pi(j + L^* - 1)| = L^* - 1$. These Hamming weight 4 compound binary error events will lead to squared Euclidean distances in the PCCCM system, $d_E^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 d_{E_i}^2$, $d_{E_i}^2 \in$

 $D_2^{L^*}$, lower than the squared Euclidean distances associated to the Hamming weight 2 binary error events allowed by the interleaver structure.

In the case of two concatenated BSM CCM's, all Hamming weight 2 binary error events of length L^* have the same associated squared Euclidean distance ($D_2^{L^*}$ has only one element), so that, as with turbocodes, the error floor determined by the concatenation of two of such events will be given by

$$P_{b_{\text{floor}}} \approx \frac{w_4 N_4}{2N} \text{erfc} \left(\sqrt{\frac{4d_{\min}^2}{4P} R \frac{E_b}{N_0}} \right), \qquad (16)$$

where d_{\min}^2 is given in (14), $w_4 = 4$ is the number of error bits, and N_4 is the number of compound binary error events with Hamming weight 4 consisting in the mentioned concatenation of Hamming weight 2 binary error events with length L^* allowed by the interleaver structure. In the rest of cases, such compound binary error events will lead to squared Euclidean distances $d_E^2 = d_{E_1}^2 + d_{E_2}^2 + d_{E_3}^2 + d_{E_4}^2$, where $d_{E_i}^2 \in D_2^{L^*}$ is the individual squared Euclidean distance induced by each individual Hamming weight 2 binary error event in each of the CCM's, which depends generally on b and b'. For the mBSM CCM and the TM CCM, these distances $d_{E_i}^2$ have the same frequency (see Fig. 5), and so it is enough to consider the combinations with repetition of 4 elements in $D_2^{L^*}$ to account for all possible values of d_E^2 between two PCCCM words. Therefore, the bound is given as

$$P_{b_{\text{floor}}} \approx \frac{w_4 N_4}{2N} {\binom{t+3}{4}}^{-1} \sum_{d_E^2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{\frac{d_E^2}{4P} R \frac{E_b}{N_0}}\right), \quad (17)$$

where t is the number of elements in $D_2^{L^*}$ and $d_E^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 d_{E_i}^2$, $d_{E_i}^2 \in D_2^{L^*}$. The term $\binom{t+3}{4}$ is the number of said combinations of the elements of $D_2^{L^*}$.

When we have two mTM CCM's, the number of distances in $D_2^{L^*}$ is remarkably larger and their frequency is not uniform (see Fig. 6(a)), so that the overall squared Euclidean distance spectrum for the PCCCM outputs, $d_E^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 d_{E_i}^2$, $d_{E_i}^2 \in D_2^{L^*}$, follows the almost Gaussian distribution of Fig. 6(b). To provide a bound based on the related binary error events, we can resort to computing the probability density function (pdf) of d_E^2 with the help of the related histogram and calculate numerically

$$P_{b_{\text{floor}}} \approx \frac{w_4 N_4}{2N} \int_{d_{E_{\text{min}}}^2}^{d_{E_{\text{max}}}^2} p(v) \cdot \operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{\frac{v}{4P} R \frac{E_b}{N_0}}\right) dv, \quad (18)$$

where p(v) is the estimated pdf for d_E^2 .

With respect to the frame error probability (P_e) , i.e., the probability for a frame of N bits to contain bit errors, it is easy to calculate for the error floor region once we have calculated the mentioned bound for $P_{b_{\text{floor}}}$. Assuming that the dominant errors will be those with Hamming weight $w_4 = 4$, then

$$P_{e_{\text{floor}}} \approx \frac{N}{w_4} P_{b_{\text{floor}}}.$$
 (19)

The next section will show the accurateness of this result with the help of the frame error rate (FER) results.



Fig. 7. BER for different parallel concatenation of two equal CCM's in AWGN, Q = 5, N = 10000 and S = 23, compared with a Cdma2000 binary turbocode.



Fig. 8. BER and error floor bounds for different parallel concatenation of two equal CCM's in AWGN, Q = 5, N = 10000 and S = 23. Bounds are depicted with dash-dotted lines.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

For all the simulations, 20 decoding iterations were performed and the BER and FER results were recorded after finding 20 frames on error. In Figs. 7 and 8 we have depicted the BER results for the parallel concatenation of two equal CCM's with an S-random interleaver of length N = 10000 and S = 23. All the CCM's have a quantization factor of Q = 5. In Fig. 7 we plot the simulation results for a Cdma2000 binary turbocode [10] of similar complexity, with an interleaver of size N = 6138 specially designed for its constituent codes. We see that we can get comparable results in the waterfall region in the case of the concatenation of 2 mBSM CCM's, though the error floor of the latter is higher. On the other side, the concatenation of 2 mTM CCM's allows us to achieve a much lower error floor than with the Cdma2000 binary turbocode. It has been shown that a good combination of CCM's can yield chaos based concatenated systems competing with standard alternatives in both the waterfall and error floor regions [11]. In Fig. 8, we also show the bounds for the BER at the error floor region calculated according to the principles and expressions seen in the previous section. Though they only take into account the kind of error events mentioned there, they are reasonably tight. In the case of BSM and mBSM, the interleavers employed allowed a total of $N_4 = 5$ possible concatenations of pairs of Hamming weight 2 binary error events with $L = L^* = Q + 1$, and the interleavers of the TM and mTM cases allowed a total of $N_4 = 4$ of such compound events (this time with $L = L^* = Q + 2$). It is remarkable the fact that the theoretical error floor, together with the error floor shown by the simulations, decreases as the regularity in the spectrum of the squared Euclidean distances associated to the individual Hamming weight 2 binary error events decreases. In fact, the mTM case, which has the most complex squared Euclidean distance spectrum as seen in Fig. 6(a), exhibits a very good behavior respecting the error floor. The fact that this system reaches the waterfall region some tenths of dB after the system concatenating of 2 mBSM's is related to the fact that the decoding algorithm starts converging for a higher E_b/N_0 [11], but, once decoding convergence is reached, the better squared Euclidean distance spectrum manifests itself in a lower error floor. This means that the properties of E_b/N_0 convergence threshold (that determines the waterfall region) and the error floor level are to be dealt with separately when choosing a possible concatenation of CCM systems. The rest of cases, excepting the very bad performing concatenation of BSM CCM's, agree well with the principles of parallel concatenation: a general good behavior for low-mid E_b/N_0 once reached the turbo cliff threshold, but a relatively high BER error floor for $E_b/N_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the results shown here are comparable to the ones attained by binary turbocodes or turbo TCM systems of similar complexity [10], [11].

In Fig. 9 we show the BER and the error floor bounds for the mBSM PCCCM with different values of N, S and Q. The difference between Q = 5 and Q = 6 is small, and seems to affect mainly to the turbo cliff, determining a slightly steeper slope for the Q = 6 case. Nevertheless, the error floor is very similar: though for Q = 6 there are some important differences (the minimal loop length is now $L^* = 7$), the values of the squared Euclidean distances are practically the same, since the changes in such values are small as $Q \to \infty$ when Q >4. Therefore, the main change with a change in Q is in the multiplicity N_4 of the compound error events allowed by the interleaver permutation. This makes it clear that the dynamics of the map, which determines the spectrum of the squared Euclidean distances between pairs of chaotic sequences, is a more influential factor at the error floor region than the ad-hoc quantization level Q.

On the other hand, when we change the value of N, we can appreciate in Fig. 9 that the interleaver gain in the error floor region changes as N^{-1} . This is the expected behavior for any parallel concatenated system with interleavers [10]. There is not even a noticeable improvement in the E_b/N_0 threshold for the waterfall region with a growing value of N with respect to the case with N = 10000. Only when N < 10000 (see the N = 1000 case), the situation is clearly worse and the behavior starts resembling the pure BSM case. In fact, with N = 1000



Fig. 9. BER and error floor bounds for the parallel concatenation of two equal mBSM CCM's in AWGN. Bounds are depicted with dash-dotted lines.



Fig. 10. BER and FER for different parallel concatenation of two equal CCMs in the AWGN channel, Q = 5, N = 10000 and S = 23. BER: continuous line. FER: dashed line. Thick continuous lines merging for high E_b/N_0 with the dashed lines depict the relation FER = (N/w_4) BER for each corresponding PCCCM kind (except for the mTM).

the interleaver requires a lower value for S, and, since it takes now the value S = 12, the dominant error events in the error floor region are those with overall Hamming weight 2 (instead of 4) and given by one individual binary error loop with L = L^* in one encoder and $L = 3(L^* - 1) + 1 = 16 > S$ in the other. These error events have associated squared Euclidean distances lower than the squared Euclidean distances for the compound Hamming weight 4 error events of the cases with $S > 3L^*$. Note that the maximum possible value for S is limited by the size of the interleaver N, since $S < \sqrt{N/2}$. This also puts a limit on the value of Q once we have a pair S and N, because $L^* = Q + a$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, and we require that $L^* < S$ to avoid low squared Euclidean distance weight 2 error events in the concatenated code.

Finally, we have plotted in Fig. 10 the BER and FER results for several concatenation of CCM's. We have also included the plots of (N/w_4) BER (see (18)). We can see how the FER curves follow the expected behavior for a parallel concatenated scheme, with the waterfall and the error floor regions located where is hinted by the BER curves (with the commented exception of the pathological BSM system). With respect to the error floor region, we verify that the relationship (18) holds for the FER, and we can be sure that the dominant error events present in each frame with errors are those of Hamming weight 4 and structure seen. We have not depicted (N/w_4) BER for the concatenation of two mTM's since the results obtained are still far from reaching the error floor steady state.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have reviewed a class of chaos coded modulations with switched discrete chaotic maps and small perturbations control, and we have analyzed the behavior at the error floor region of the parallel concatenation of such modulations. This scheme has shown to be of potential interest since the attainable performance is quite similar to that of binary turbocodes or turbo TCM. The analysis of the error events leading to low output square Euclidean distances has allowed us to draw bounds for the bit error probability at said error floor region. The same analysis has provided a valuable insight into the properties of the concatenated system and has stressed the fact that the dynamics of the underlying map is a major factor in the system design, since this dynamics controls the squared Euclidean distance spectrum. In fact, the best results were obtained when the uniform error property was furthest from being met.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Hayes, C. Grebogi, and E. Ott, "Communicating with chaos," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 70, no. 20, pp. 3031–3034, May 1993.
- [2] F. J. Escribano, L. López, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, "Evaluation of Channel Coding and Decoding Algorithms Using Discrete Chaotic Maps," *CHAOS*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 013 103–0/16, March 2006.
- [3] S. Kozic, T. Schimming, and M. Hasler, "Controlled One- and Multidimensional Modulations Using Chaotic Maps," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 53, pp. 2048–2059, September 2006.
- [4] Y. Xia, C. K. Tse, and F. C. M. Lau, "Performance of Differential Chaos-Shift-Keying Digital Communication Systems Over a Multipath Fading Channel with Delay Spread," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 680–684, December 2004.
- [5] G. Mazzini, R. Rovatti, and G. Setti, "Chaos-Based Asynchronous DS-CDMA Systems and Enhanced Rake Receivers: Measuring the Improvements," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 48, no. 12, pp. 1445– 1453, December 2001.
- [6] F. J. Escribano, L. López, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, "Serial Concatenation of Channel and Chaotic Encoders," in *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Workshop on Nonlinear Dynamics of Electronic Systems* (NDES) 2006, Dijon, France, June 2006, pp. 30–33.
- [7] S. Kozic and M. Hasler, "Belief Propagation Decoding of Codes Based on Discretized Chaotic Maps," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS) 2006*, Kos, Greece, May 2006.
- [8] F. J. Escribano, L. López, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, "Chaos Coded Modulations over Rayleigh and Rician Flat Fading Channels," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. 581–585, June 2008.
- [9] W. M. Tam, F. C. M. Lau, and C. K. Tse, Digital Communications with Chaos. Oxford: Elsevier, 2007.
- [10] C. B. Schlegel and L. C. Pérez, *Trellis and Turbo Coding*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004.
- [11] F. J. Escribano, S. Kozic, L. López, M. A. F. Sanjuán, and M. Hasler, "Turbo-Like Structures for Chaos Coding and Decoding," *To be published in IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2008.
- [12] F. J. Escribano, L. López, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, "Iteratively Decoding Chaos Encoded Binary Signals," in *Proceedings of the Eighth IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Its Applications* (ISSPA) 2005, vol. 1, Sydney, Australia, August 2005, pp. 275–278.

- [13] T. Richardson, "Error Floors of LDPC Codes," in Proceedings of the 41st Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control and Computing, Monticello, Illinois, USA, October 2003, pp. 1426–1435.
- [14] F.J. Escribano and L. López and M. A. F. Sanjuán, "Parallel Concatenated Chaos Coded Modulations," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software, Telecommunications and Computer Networks* (SoftCOM07), Split-Dubrovnik, Croatia, September 2007.
- [15] D. Divsalar and F. Pollara, "Turbo Codes for PCS Applications," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Communications, vol. 1, Seattle, USA, June 1995, pp. 54–59.
- [16] K. R. Narayanan, "Effect of Precoding on the Convergence of Turbo Equalization for Partial Response Channels," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 686–689, April 2001.
- [17] V. Gulati and K. R. Narayanan, "Concatenated Codes for Fading Channels Based on Recursive Space-Time Trellis Codes," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 118–128, January 2003.
- [18] F. J. Escribano, L. López, and M. A. F. Sanjuán, "Exploiting Symbolic Dynamics in Chaos Coded Communications with *Maximum a Posteriori* Algorithm," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 42, no. 17, pp. 984–985, August 2006.
- [19] S. Benedetto, D. Divsalar, G. Montorsi, and F. Pollara, "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Codes: Performance Analysis, Design and Iterative Decoding," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 909–926, May 1998.



Francisco J. Escribano received his degree in Telecommunications Engineering at ETSIT-UPM, Spain, and his PhD degree at Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain. He is currently Associate Professor at the Department of Signal Theory and Communications of Universidad de Alcalá de Henares, Spain, where he is involved in several undergraduate and master courses in Telecommunications Engineering. His research activities are focused on Communications Systems and Information Theory, mainly in the

topics of channel coding, modulation and multiuser detection, an on the applications of Chaos in Engineering.



Luis López Fernández obtained his PhD in Computer Science at Universidad Rey Juan Carlos in 2003. He has a Telecommunications Engineering degree by the ETSIT-UPM and a Telecommunications Engineering degree by the ENST - Télécom Paris, both obtained in 1999. He is currently Associate Professor at Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, where he coordinates/collaborates in several undergradate and master courses in Computer Science and Telecommunications Engineering. His current research interests are concentrated on the applications of Complex

Networks and Game Theory in the field of Computer Science in general, and IP networks in particular. Luis has coauthored more than 50 research publications in top scientific journals and conferences.



Miguel A. F. Sanjuán received a University Degree in Physics from University of Valladolid, Spain in 1981, and a PhD Degree in Physics from National University at a Distance, Madrid, Spain in 1990. He was Visiting Research Associate at the Institute of Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, from July 1995 to September 1996 under the supervision of Prof. James A Yorke. He is a JSPS fellow and he was a Visiting Researcher at the University of Tokyo in February 2002, working in collaboration with Prof. Kazuyuki Aihara. Since

2002 he is Full Professor of Physics at the University Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain, where he is the Head of the Department of Physics and the Head of the Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos Group. Since 2008, he was elected Foreign Member of the Lithuanian Academy of Sciences.